

## **Project Inspire**

## Summary of comments from statutory consultees updated following discussion with the DAC delegation 24<sup>th</sup> February 2022

Proposals agreed			<b>√</b>	PCC agreed				
Proposals not agreed				PCC mindful to accept				
			*	PCC has pro	ovided further justification in			
				the Statem				
Comments/ questions		?		PCC has provided further explanation in				
				the Statem				
Blank entries				No comme				
DAC comments				DAC supported				•
				DAC sugges				
Component	Churc	h	Historic	Historic	SPAB	Victorian	DA	AC delegation
	Buildin	g	England	Buildings		Society		comment
		-						

			DAC Sugges	110112 – 26	e notes	
Component	Church Building Council	Historic England	Historic Buildings and Places	SPAB	Victorian Society	DAC delegation comment
Floor	$\checkmark$	*	$\checkmark$	*	*	✓
Ramps for		*		<b>*</b>		✓
access						
North porch	✓			*		Note 1
Vestry	✓			?		✓
Pews	✓	*	$\checkmark$	*	*	Note 2
Pews on		?	5	Ş	?	✓
castors						
Font	✓					✓
Gallery	✓		$\checkmark$		✓	✓
Gallery design			?	?	?	Note 3
Hawker			5			✓ Note
window						4
Lobby	*	*	*	*	*	✓
Chancel	*	*	*	*	*	✓
Choir screen		*	*	*	*	Note 5
Side chapel	*	*	*	*		✓
ledgerstones	✓	*	$\checkmark$			Note 7
Heating		*		*	*	
ASHP location		*		*		
Sunday school	$\checkmark$					Note 8
in church						
Use of cottage	*	*	?	*	*	Note 6
Monuments			Ş			✓
Raising pulpit			Ş			✓
Kitchen				?		✓
West porch			Ś			✓
South door				Ş		✓
Bowley				Ş		Note 4
window						

## Notes

- 1. Existing gate possibly Georgian but we need to see if we have any further information; to consider if and how we might keep them. Our archaeologist thought they were of low significance.
- 2. DAC support the removal of the pews but we should consider keeping a few.
- 3. DAC looking for high quality detailing and finish ... an issue for the architect to address.
- 4. How important is this? Look at alternative lighting
- 5. The screen: Mixed views from the DAC as to whether they would support. The Archdeacon would support but others on the DAC may not. This may lead to a mixed support. We should consider other possible options for the screen. Locating in the gallery is not thought to be suitable.
- 6. We should clearly say that we plan to sell the cottage to fund the project This is not within the jurisdiction of the DAC, nor of the Chancellor.
- 7. We still need to find a 'home' for Sir Edward Bathurst.
- 8. Bell ringing chamber: DAC suggested that in the submission we should state how the room is to be used with reference to the protocol and security arrangements. The ringers want assurance of main use and frequency of use for the Sunday school. Worth AC writing to the ringers again.

NJ 26<sup>th</sup> February 2022